

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

The main reason I have a cell phone is to be able to call for help in an emergency. I do not want to get stranded with two toddlers. I am currently using the least expensive option, which is prepaid. Still, I have to add airtime every few months to keep my phone active. This is an extra item for me, and my cell phone will be the first to go if the rates get too expensive. With the cost of gas and living rising while pay rates for hourly workers are lagging way behind just so executives and upper management can pay for their every whim, this is a real possibility.

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Carmen Delaney
461 W. Waterloo Rd
Akron, Ohio 44314